


*[Handwritten signature]*



PATENT  
Attorney Docket No. 4329.3187  
Customer No.: 22,852

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:	)	
	)	
YOSHIKI ISHIZUKA ET AL.	)	
	)	
Application No.: 10/725,509	)	Group Art Unit: 2879
	)	
Filed: December 3, 2003	)	Examiner: Joseph L. Williams
	)	
For: COLD CATHODE TYPE	)	Confirmation No.: 4999
ELECTRON EMITTING DEVICE,	)	
METHOD OF MANUFACTURING	)	
THE SAME AND METHOD OF	)	
DRIVING THE SAME	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

In a restriction requirement dated October 13, 2005, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between Group I, claims 1-12, drawn to a method of manufacturing a cold cathode type electron-emitting device, and Group II, claims 13-20, drawn to a cold cathode type electron-emitting device. Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group 1, claims 1-12, drawn to a method of manufacturing a cold cathode type electron-emitting device, without traverse.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Response, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: November 9, 2005

By: 

Richard V. Burgujian  
Reg. No. 81,744